

✓ IN THE CLAIMS

Please cancel claims 19 and 20 without prejudice or disclaimer.

REMARKS

Applicant is grateful for the Examiner's thorough review of the application, as amended, and the indication that claims 10-14 and 16-18 were allowed. While claims 19 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Casale, the rejection was maintained on the basis that the procedural requirements for removing Casale as a prior art reference were not complied with. Although Applicant does not acquiesce to the correctness of the rejection, in order to expedite allowance of this application, claims 19 and 20 have been canceled. Allowance of the application along with all pending claims, i.e., claims 10-14 and 16-18 is respectfully requested.

OBJECTION TO THE DRAWINGS

The Examiner objected to the drawings on the basis that numeral "70" lacks a lead line in figure 1 and that figure 10 includes a lead line with no corresponding reference numeral.

In response to this objection, Applicant is submitting herewith a proposed drawing correction for the Examiner's approval.